

Matthew J.M. Prebeg (Texas Bar No. 00791465)

Pro Hac Vice Pending

Stephen W. Abbott (Texas Bar No. 00795933)

Pro Hac Vice Pending

Christopher M. Faucett (Texas Bar No. 00795198)

Pro Hac Vice Pending

CLEARMAN | PREBEG LLP

815 Walker Street, Suite 1040

Houston, Texas 77002

Telephone: (713) 223-7070

Facsimile: (713) 223-7071

E-mail: mprebeg@clearmanprebeg.com

sabbott@clearmanprebeg.com

cfaucett@clearmanprebeg.com

Alan M. Kindred (SBN 135145)

Ivan Posey (SBN 196386)

KINDRED | POSEY

1603 Manor Gate Road

Hacienda Heights, California 91745

Telephone: (888) 499-5558

Facsimile: (213) 559-8822

E-mail: Alan.Kindred@kindredposey.com

Ivan.Posey@kindredposey.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff,

YieldBoost Tech, Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,
FRESNO DIVISION**

YELDBOOST TECH, INC., a
California Corporation

Plaintiff,

vs.

APPLIED MATERIALS, INC., a
Delaware Corporation

CASE NO.

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT**

JURY DEMAND

Defendant

Plaintiff YieldBoost Tech, Inc. brings this action against Defendant Applied Materials, Inc., and for its causes of action alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. YieldBoost Tech, Inc. (“YieldBoost”) is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 2975 R Street, Merced, California 95348.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Applied Materials, Inc. (“Applied Materials”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 3050 Bowers Avenue; Santa Clara, California 95054-3299.

THE PATENT

3. On May 30, 2006, United States Patent No. 7,053,645, entitled “System and Method for Detecting Defects in a Thin-Film-Transistor Array” was duly and legally issued (“the ‘645 patent”). A true and correct copy of the ‘645 patent is attached as **Exhibit A**.

4. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 282, the ‘645 patent is presumed valid.

5. YieldBoost is the assignee and sole owner of all substantial rights in the ‘645 patent, including the exclusive right to grant sublicenses to those patents and to file lawsuits and seek damages for past, present, and future infringement of one or both patents against the Defendant.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 United States Code, particularly §§ 271 and 281. This Court has jurisdiction over the claim for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

7. Personal jurisdiction exists generally over the Defendant because, on information and belief, the Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts with the

forum as a result of business conducted within the State of California and within this District. Personal jurisdiction exists specifically over the Defendant because, on information and belief, the Defendant, directly or indirectly, itself or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, makes, uses, offers for sale, or sells products or services within the State of California that infringe the patent-in-suit.

8. Venue is proper in this Court under Title 28 United States Code §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(b).

PATENT INFRINGEMENT COUNT

9. Applied Materials, on information and belief, makes, uses, sells, or offers to sell products that infringe at least claims 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, and/or 29 of the '645 patent, including for example and without limitation its AKT-1600 EBT, AKT-3500 EBT, AKT-4300 EBT, AKT-15K EBT, AKT-25K EBT, AKT-40 EBT, AKT-50 EBT, and/or AKT-55K EBT electron beam scanner devices, as well as any other devices that use similar technology as described and claimed in the '645 patent. Applied Materials also contributes to infringement and/or induce others to infringe the '645 patent by selling its infringing products for use by others.

10. By so making, using, selling, and/or offering to sell the aforementioned products, Applied Materials has been and continues to infringe, either literally or by equivalents, directly and/or by inducement and/or contribution, YieldBoost's rights in the '645 patent.

11. In addition to Applied Materials' direct infringement of the '645 patent, as described above, it also is liable by actively inducing direct infringement by others who sell, offer for sale, purchase, and/or use the aforementioned products.

12. The infringement of the '645 patent alleged above has injured YieldBoost, and YieldBoost is thus entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Applied Materials' infringement, which in no event can be less

than a reasonable royalty.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff YieldBoost Tech, Inc. (“YieldBoost”) prays for entry of judgment:

A. That Defendant Applied Materials has infringed one or more claims of the ‘645 patent;

B. That Defendant Applied Materials account for and pay YieldBoost all damages caused by infringing the ‘645 patent, which by statute can be no less than a reasonable royalty;

C. That Applied Materials be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to it by reason of Applied Materials’ infringement of the ‘645 patent;

D. That Plaintiff YieldBoost be granted its attorneys’ fees in this action;

E. That Plaintiff YieldBoost be awarded its costs in this action;

F. That YieldBoost be granted such other and further relief that is just and proper under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted:

December 20, 2011



Alan Kindred
Ivan Posey
KINDRED | POSEY

Matthew J.M. Prebeg
Pro Hac Vice Pending
Stephen W. Abbott
Pro Hac Vice Pending
Christopher M. Faucett
Pro Hac Vice Pending
CLEARMAN | PREBEG LLP

///

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38(b), F. R. Civ. P., plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues triable by a jury.

Respectfully submitted:
December 20, 2011



Alan Kindred
Ivan Posey
KINDRED | POSEY

Matthew J.M. Prebeg
Pro Hac Vice Pending
Stephen W. Abbott
Pro Hac Vice Pending
Christopher M. Faucett
Pro Hac Vice Pending
CLEARMAN | PREBEG LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Dennis Fernandez

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///