IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

| EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES LLC AND |) | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------|
| EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES PVT, INC. |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiffs, |) | |
| |) C.A. No. 12-23 | -GMS |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| MEDTRONIC COREVALVE LLC AND |) | |
| MEDTRONIC, INC., |) | |
| D 0 1 |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, having duly deliberated on the evidence presented by the parties, answer the interrogatories posed by the Court as follows:


I. <u>PATENT INFRINGEMENT</u>

QUESTION 1:

Has Edwards proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Medtronic's manufacture or sale of the CoreValve System in the United States directly infringed any of the asserted claims of the '825 Patent?

| YES_ | V | (for Edwards) | NO | (for Medtronic) |
|------|---|---------------|----|-----------------|

If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 1, which claim(s) do you find Medtronic has directly infringed:



If you answered "yes" to Question 1, go to Question 2.

If you answered "no" to Question 1, go to Question 5.

QUESTION 2:

Has Edwards proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Medtronic has infringed any of the asserted claims of the '825 Patent pursuant to Section 271(f)(1), which is described in the Court's instructions?

YES ______ (for Edwards) NO ______ (for Medtronic)

If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 2, which claim(s) do you find Medtronic has infringed pursuant to Section 271(f)(1):

Claim 1 Claim 2 Claim 4

Please go to Question 3.

QUESTION 3:

Has Edwards proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Medtronic has infringed any of the asserted claims of the '825 Patent pursuant to Section 271(f)(2), which is described in the Court's instructions?

| YES | (for Edwards) | NO | (for Medtronic) |
|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| If you answered ' | 'Yes" to Question No. 3, v | vhich claim(s) do yo | ou find Medtronic has |
| infringed pursua | nt to Section 271(f)(2): | | |
| Claim 1 | Claim 2 | <u> </u> | Claim 4 |
| Claim 5 | | | |

Please go to Question 4.

II. WILLFUL PATENT INFRINGEMENT

QUESTION 4:

Has Edwards proven by clear and convincing evidence that Medtronic's infringement of the '825

| Patent was wil | lful? / | | |
|----------------|---------------|----|-----------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| <i>V</i> | | | |
| YES | (for Edwards) | NO | (for Medtronic) |

Please go to Question 5.

III. <u>INVALIDITY</u>

QUESTION 5:

Please go to Question 6.

| Has Medtronic prove | n by clear and convincing | evidence that any of | the asserted claims of the |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| '825 patent are invali | d because they are not ena | bled? | |
| YES | (for Medtronic) | NO | (for Edwards) |
| If you answered "Yo are not enabled: | es" to Question No. 5, wh | ich claim(s) do you | find invalid because they |
| Claim 1 | Claim 2 | Cla | iim 4 |
| Claim 5 | | | |
| | | | |

QUESTION 6:

| Has Medtronic proven b | by clear and convincing evid | dence that an | y of the ass | erted claims of the |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|
| '825 patent are invalid f | for lack of written description | on? | | |
| YES | (for Medtronic) | NO | | (for Edwards) |
| If you answered "Yes" | to Question No. 6, which | claim(s) do | you find in | valid for lack of |
| written description: | | | | |
| Claim 1 | Claim 2 | | _ Claim 4 | |
| Claim 5 | | | | |
| | | | | |

Please go to Question 7.

QUESTION 7:

| Has Medtronic prov | en by clear and convincing | evidence that any of the asserted claims | of the |
|----------------------|------------------------------|--|--------|
| '825 patent are inva | lid as being obvious in ligh | t of the prior art? | |
| YES | (for Medtronic) | NO(for Edward | ds) |
| If you answered "Y | Yes" to Question No. 7, w | hich claim(s) do you find invalid as bei | ng |
| obvious in light of | the prior art: | | |
| Claim 1 | Claim 2 | Claim 4 | |
| Claim 5 | | | • |

Complete this Section IV (Damages) ONLY if you answered "YES" for one or more claims

in Section I (Infringement) and you answered "NO" for the same claim(s) in response to

every question in Section III (Invalidity).

IV. **DAMAGES**

You must decide the amount of damages adequate to compensate Edwards for Medtronic's

infringement if (a) Medtronic has infringed at least one claim of the '825 Patent, and (b) for

at least one such infringed claim Medtronic did not prove that claim is invalid.

QUESTION 8:

If you believe that Edwards has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to

lost profits for Medtronic's infringement, please enter the amount of lost profits:

Answer: \$ 388.8 Million

QUESTION 9:

For those infringing sales for which you did not award Edwards lost profits, what is the amount

of reasonable royalty to which Edwards is entitled?

Answer: \$ 4.8 Million

Dated: January <u>15</u>, 2014

9