1	Charles F. Hauff Jr. (#014465)		
2	chauff@swlaw.com David G. Barker (#024657)		
	dbarker@swlaw.com		
3	Trisha D. Farmer (#028809) tfarmer@swlaw.com		
4	SNELL & WILMER		
5	One Arizona Center		
5	400 East Van Buren Street Suite 1900		
6	Phoenix, AZ 85004		
7	Phone: (602) 382-6000 Facsimile: (602) 382-6070		
8	Joseph F. Jennings (pending <i>pro hac vice</i> application) joseph.jennings@knobbe.com		
9	Christy G. Lea (pending <i>pro hac vice</i> application)		
10	christy.lea@knobbe.com Jenna C. Kelleher (pending <i>pro hac vice</i> application) jenna.kelleher@knobbe.com		
11			
12	2040 Main Street Fourteenth Floor	, 221	
13	Irvine, CA 92614 Phone: (949) 760 0404		
14	Phone: (949) 760-0404 Facsimile: (949) 760-9502		
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff CAYENNE MEDICAL, INC.		
16			
17			
18			
19	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
20	FOR THE DISTR	ICT OF ARIZONA	
21	Cayenne Medical, Inc., a Delaware	No.	
22	corporation,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT	
23	Plaintiff,	INFRINGEMENT	
24	v.	(Jury Trial Demanded)	
25	MedShape, Inc., a Georgia corporation,	)	
26	Defendant.	)	
27		)	
	1		

Plaintiff Cayenne Medical, Inc. ("Cayenne" or "Plaintiff") hereby complains of Defendant MedShape, Inc. ("Defendant" or "MedShape") and alleges as follows:

#### I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 2. Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this Court. In particular, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has a continuous, systematic, and substantial presence within this judicial district, including substantial marketing and sale of products in this judicial district. Further, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant in this case, because Defendant has committed the acts giving rise to Cayenne's claim for patent infringement within and directed to this district.
- 3. Venue is proper in this Judicial District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(d) and 1400(b).

### II. THE PARTIES

- 4. Cayenne is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and having a principal place of business at 16597 North 92nd Street, Suite 101, Scottsdale, Arizona, 85260.
- 5. Defendant is, upon information and belief, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Georgia, having a principle place of business at 1575 Northside Drive, NW, Suite 440, Atlanta, Georgia, 30318.

# III. THE PATENTS AND GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

6. On January 26, 2010, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("Patent Office") duly and lawfully issued U.S. Patent No. 7,651,528 entitled "Devices, Systems, and Methods for Material Fixation" (the "'528 Patent"). Cayenne is the owner by assignment of the '528 Patent. A copy of the '528 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

7. On May 7, 2013, the Patent Office duly and lawfully issued U.S. Patent No. 8,435,294 entitled "Devices, Systems, and Methods for Material Fixation" (the "'294 Patent"). Cayenne is the owner by assignment of the '294 Patent. A copy of the '294 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

- 8. The '528 and '294 Patents claim, *inter alia*, novel devices, systems, and methods for attaching soft material (*e.g.*, tendon) to bone. The device and/or method can be used, for example, in reconstructing or repairing torn or diseased ligaments and tendons.
- 9. Cayenne markets the AperFix® System, which is a device and method for attaching tendon to a bone, *e.g.*, it can be used to reconstruct cruciate ligaments of the knee such as the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) and others. The AperFix System is covered by the '528 and '294 Patents. The product brochure for the AperFix System states that it is "covered by one or more U.S. patents pending."
- 10. In July 2011, MedShape hired Lindsey Wolf (now Lindsey Arleth) as Director of Sales for the Southeast territory. Ms. Wolf was previously employed as a District Sales Manager at Cayenne from March 2010 to July 2011. During her employment at Cayenne, Ms. Wolf was informed that Cayenne had patents that covered the AperFix System.
- 11. In March 2012, MedShape hired Josh Ray as an employee responsible for U.S. and International Sales. Mr. Ray was previously employed as a Regional Sales Manager at Cayenne from April 2007 to March 2012. During his employment at Cayenne, Mr. Ray was informed that Cayenne had patents that covered the AperFix System.
- 12. In April 2013, MedShape hired Tim Nash as its Senior Vice President of Sales and Marketing. Mr. Nash was previously employed as the Vice President of Marketing at Cayenne from April 2007 to April 2012. During his employment at Cayenne, Mr. Nash was informed that Cayenne had obtained the '528 Patent in January 2010 and was aware that Cayenne had filed a continuation patent application

related to the '528 Patent (later issued as the '294 Patent). Mr. Nash was informed that the '528 Patent and the pending patent application that later issued as the '294 Patent contained claims that covered the AperFix System.

13. In January 2014, MedShape announced the launch of the ExoShape® FEMORAL Soft Tissue Fastener (also known as the ExoShape® Soft Tissue Fastener or the ExoShape® ACL Fixation System) (hereinafter "ExoShape") in direct competition with the Cayenne AperFix System. As part of their launch of ExoShape, MedShape targeted its announcement at customers and users of the Cayenne AperFix System. Upon information and belief, Mr. Nash and Mr. Ray were directly involved in contacting Cayenne's customers and users to promote ExoShape.

### IV. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### (Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,651,528)

- 14. Cayenne repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 13 of this Complaint.
- 15. This is a claim for patent infringement and arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.
- 16. Defendant has been, and currently is, directly infringing the '528 Patent, contributing to the infringement of the '528 Patent, and/or inducing infringement of the '528 Patent by directly or indirectly making, using, selling and/or offering to sell in the United States, and/or importing into the United States tissue fixation systems including, but not limited to, ExoShape, that is covered by at least one claim of the '528 Patent. Defendant's acts constitute infringement of the '528 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 17. Defendant has contributed to infringement of the '528 Patent by, *inter alia*, marketing and selling ExoShape, because ExoShape is not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use, and is known by Defendant to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of the '528 Patent. As a result, Defendant's customers and physicians have used ExoShape

18. Defendant has knowingly and actively induced infringement of the '528 Patent by, *inter alia*, marketing and selling ExoShape knowing and intending that Defendant's customers and physicians use it in a manner that infringes the '528 Patent. To that end, Defendant provides instructions and teachings to its customers and physicians that such systems and devices be used in the manner claimed in the '528 Patent. As a result, Defendant's customers and physicians have used ExoShape in a manner that directly infringes the '528 Patent.

in a manner that directly infringes the '528 Patent.

- 19. Defendant has been and is currently committing these acts of infringement without license or authorization from Cayenne.
- 20. Defendant's infringement has been and continues to be intentional, knowing, willful, and deliberate, with full knowledge of Cayenne's rights.
- 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringement will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant has derived and received, and will continue to derive and receive, gains, profits, and advantages from the aforesaid acts of infringement in an amount that is not presently known to Cayenne.
- 23. As a direct and proximate consequence of Defendant's infringement of the '528 Patent, Cayenne has suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages in an amount not yet determined.
- 24. Because of the aforesaid infringing acts, Cayenne has suffered and continues to suffer great and irreparable injury, for which Cayenne has no adequate remedy at law.

## V. <u>SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u>

# (Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,435,294)

- 25. Cayenne repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 24 of this Complaint.
  - 26. This is a claim for patent infringement and arises under the Patent Laws

of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

- 27. Defendant has been, and currently is, directly infringing the '294 Patent, contributing to the infringement of the '294 Patent, and/or inducing infringement of the '294 Patent by directly or indirectly making, using, selling and/or offering to sell in the United States and/or importing into the United States tissue fixation systems, including, but not limited to, ExoShape, that is covered by at least one claim of the '294 Patent. Defendant's acts constitute infringement of the '294 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 28. Defendant has contributed to infringement of the '294 Patent by, *inter alia*, marketing and selling ExoShape, because ExoShape is not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use, and is known by Defendant to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of the '294 Patent. As a result, Defendant's customers and physicians have used ExoShape in a manner that directly infringes the '294 Patent.
- 29. Defendant has knowingly and actively induced infringement of the '294 Patent by, *inter alia*, marketing and selling ExoShape knowing and intending that Defendant's customers and physicians use it in a manner that infringes the '294 Patent. To that end, Defendant provides instructions and teachings to its customers and physicians that such systems and devices be used in the manner claimed in the '294 Patent. As a result, Defendant's customers and physicians have used ExoShape® in a manner that directly infringes the '294 Patent.
- 30. Defendant has been and is currently committing these acts of infringement without license or authorization from Cayenne.
- 31. Defendant's infringement has been and continues to be intentional, knowing, willful, and deliberate, with full knowledge of Cayenne's rights.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringement will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
  - 33. Upon information and belief, Defendant has derived and received, and

will continue to derive and receive, gains, profits, and advantages from the aforesaid acts of infringement in an amount that is not presently known to Cayenne.

- 34. As a direct and proximate consequence of Defendant's infringement of the '294 Patent, Cayenne has suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages in an amount not yet determined.
- 35. Because of the aforesaid infringing acts, Cayenne has suffered and continues to suffer great and irreparable injury, for which Cayenne has no adequate remedy at law.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Cayenne seeks relief as follows:

- A. That the Defendant be adjudged to have infringed the '528 Patent.
- B. That the Defendant be adjudged to have infringed the '294 Patent.
- C. That the Defendant be adjudged to have willfully and deliberately infringed the '528 and '294 Patents.
- D. That Defendant, its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and all those persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the Order, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from directly or indirectly infringing the '528 and '294 Patents.
- E. That a judgment be entered against the Defendant awarding Cayenne all damages proven at trial, and in no event less than a reasonable royalty for infringement of the '528 and '294 Patents.
- F. That the damages in this judgment be trebled for Defendant's knowing, intentional, and willful infringement of the '528 Patent and '294 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- G. That there be an assessment of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs against the Defendant and in favor of Cayenne, and an award of this interest and costs to Cayenne.
  - H. That this be judged an "exceptional" case within the meaning of 35

1	U.S.C. § 285, and that Cayenne be awarded its attorneys' fees pursuant thereto,		
2	recoverable from the Defendant.		
3	I. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just.		
4	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
5	Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff		
6	Cayenne Medical, Inc. demands a trial by jury of all issues raised by the pleadings		
7	which are triable by jury.		
8			
9		Respectfully submitted,	
10		SNELL & WILMER	
11	Dated: March 6, 2014	By: _/s Trisha D. Farmer	
12	Dated. Water 0, 2014	Charles F. Hauff Jr.	
13		David G. Barker Trisha D. Farmer	
14		KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP	
15			
16		Joseph F. Jennings (pending <i>pro hac vice</i> appl.) Christy G. Lea (pending <i>pro hac vice</i> appl.)	
17		Jenna C. Kelleher (pending <i>pro hac vice</i> appl.)	
18		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
19		CAYENNE MEDICAL, INC.	
20			
21			
22	18889875		
23			
24			
25			
26			