

1 H.H. (Shashi) Kewalramani (SBN 262290)
2 LEE JORGENSEN PYLE & KEWALRAMANI, PC
3 440 W. 1st Street, Suite 205
4 Tustin, CA 92780
5 Telephone: (714) 252-6611
6 Facsimile: (714) 602-4690
7 Email: shashi@ljpklaw.com

8 JONATHAN T. SUDER (*Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed*)
9 BRETT M. PINKUS (*Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed*)
10 GLENN S. ORMAN (*Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed*)
11 FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE
12 Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1
13 604 East 4th Street, Suite 200
14 Fort Worth, Texas 76102
15 Telephone: (817) 334-0400
16 Facsimile: (817) 334-0401
17 Email: jts@fsclaw.com
18 Email: pinkus@fsclaw.com
19 Email: orman@fsclaw.com

20 Attorneys for Plaintiff
21 BACK BAY MEDICAL INC.

22
23 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
24 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
25 **SOUTHERN DIVISION**

26 BACK BAY MEDICAL INC.,

27 Plaintiff,

28 vs.

CODMAN & SHURTLEFF, INC.,
and CORDIS CORPORATION,

Defendants.

CASE NO. SA 14-CV-1588

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 Plaintiff BACK BAY MEDICAL INC. files its Complaint against
2 Defendants CODMAN & SHURTLEFF, INC., and CORDIS CORPORATION,
3 alleging as follows:

4 **THE PARTIES**

5 1. Plaintiff BACK BAY MEDICAL INC. (“Plaintiff”) is a corporation
6 organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal
7 place of business located at 600 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1350, Costa Mesa, CA
8 92626.

9 2. Upon information and belief, CODMAN & SHURTLEFF, INC.
10 (“Codman”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of
11 New Jersey, with its principal place of business in Raynham, Massachusetts.
12 Codman may be served with process through its registered agent CT Corporation
13 System, located at 155 Federal Street, Suite 700, Boston, MA 02110.

14 3. Upon information and belief, CORDIS CORPORATION (“Cordis”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida, with
15 its principal place of business in Bridgewater, New Jersey. Cordis may be served
16 with process through its registered agent CT Corporation System, located at 818
17 West Seventh Street, Second Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

18 4. Upon information and belief, Codman is a medical technology
19 company that develops and markets a wide range of diagnostic and therapeutic
20 products for the treatment of neurological and neurovascular disorders. Codman is
21 a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson.

22 5. Upon information and belief, Cordis is a medical technology company
23 with a range of products for various interventional procedures. Cordis is a
24 subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson.

25 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

26 6. This is an action for infringement of United States patents. This Court
27 has exclusive jurisdiction of such action under Title 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a). Upon
28

1 information and belief, Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction by this
2 Court. Defendants have committed such purposeful acts and/or transactions in the
3 State of California that they reasonably knew and/or expected that they could be
4 haled into a California court as a future consequence of such activity. Defendants
5 make, use, and/or sell infringing products within the Central District of California
6 and have a continuing presence and the requisite minimum contacts with the
7 Central District of California such that this venue is a fair and reasonable one.
8 Upon information and belief, Defendants have transacted and, at the time of the
9 filing of this Complaint, are continuing to transact business within the Central
10 District of California. For all of these reasons, personal jurisdiction exists and
11 venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1), (2) and (c)(2) and 28
12 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

13 **PATENTS-IN-SUIT**

14 7. On January 24, 2006, United States Patent No. 6,989,024 B2 (“the
15 ’024 Patent”) was duly and legally issued for “Guidewire Loaded Stent for
16 Delivery Through a Catheter.” A true and correct copy of the ’024 Patent is
17 attached hereto as Exhibit A and made a part hereof.

18 8. On February 4, 2014, United States Patent No. 8,641,748 B2 (“the
19 ’748 Patent”) was duly and legally issued for “Guidewire Loaded Stent for Delivery
20 Through a Catheter.” A true and correct copy of the ’748 Patent is attached hereto
21 as Exhibit B and made a part hereof.

22 9. The ’024 Patent and the ’748 Patent are sometimes referred to herein
23 collectively as “the Patents-in-Suit.”

24 10. As it pertains to this lawsuit, the Patents-in-Suit, very generally
25 speaking, relate to a system for delivery of a vascular prosthesis within tortuous
26 regions of the body having small diameter vessels, such as the peripheral or
27 intracranial vasculature.

1 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

2 **(Patent Infringement)**

3 11. Plaintiff repeats and realleges every allegation set forth above.

4 12. Plaintiff is the owner of the Patents-in-Suit with the exclusive right to
5 enforce the Patents-in-Suit against infringers, and collect damages for all relevant
6 times, including the right to prosecute this action.

7 13. Upon information and belief, and without authority, consent, right, or
8 license, and in direct infringement of the Patents-in-Suit, Defendants manufacture,
9 make, have made, use, practice, import, provide, supply, distribute, sell, and/or
10 offer for sale products or systems that infringe one or more claims in the Patents-
11 in-Suit. Such conduct constitutes, at a minimum, patent infringement under 35
12 U.S.C. § 271(a).

13 14. More specifically, Defendants, at a minimum, have directly infringed
14 and continue to directly infringe at least Claim 18 of the '024 Patent and at least
15 Claim 12 of the '748 Patent because they manufacture, make, have made, use,
16 practice, import, provide, supply, distribute, sell, and/or offer for sale
17 neurovascular intervention prostheses and delivery systems, including at least the
18 Enterprise Vascular Reconstruction Device ("Enterprise").

19 15. Upon information and belief, the Enterprise was manufactured,
20 distributed, and sold by Cordis Neurovascular, Inc., which operated as a subsidiary
21 of Cordis until in or around January 2009, when it became a business unit of
22 Codman known as Codman Neurovascular. The Enterprise has since been and is
23 presently manufactured, distributed, and sold by the Codman Neurovascular
24 business unit of Codman.

25 16. Defendants have had actual notice of the Patents-in-Suit at least as
26 early as the receipt of this Complaint.

27 17. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing
28 conduct. Defendants are, thus, liable to Plaintiff in an amount that adequately

1 compensates Plaintiff for their infringement, which, by law, cannot be less than a
2 reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35
3 U.S.C. § 284.

4 18. Upon information and belief, Defendants will continue their
5 infringement of the Patents-in-Suit unless enjoined by the Court. Defendants'
6 infringing conduct has caused Plaintiff irreparable harm and will continue to cause
7 such harm without the issuance of an injunction.

8 19. Plaintiff reserves the right to assert additional claims of the Patents-in-
9 Suit.

10 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

11 Plaintiff requests that the Court find in its favor and against Defendants, and
12 that the Court grant Plaintiff the following relief:

- 13 a. Judgment that one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit have been
14 infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by
15 Defendants;
- 16 b. Judgment that Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiff all damages
17 to and costs incurred by Plaintiff because of their infringing activities
18 and other conduct complained of herein;
- 19 c. That Defendants, their officers, agents, servants and employees, and
20 those persons in active concert and participation with any of them, be
21 permanently enjoined from infringement of the Patents-in-Suit. In the
22 alternative, if the Court finds that an injunction is not warranted,
23 Plaintiff requests an award of post judgment royalty to compensate for
24 future infringement;
- 25 d. That Defendants' infringement be found to be willful from the time
26 Defendants became aware of the infringing nature of its services, and
27 that the Court award treble damages for the period of such willful
28 infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.

- 1 e. That Plaintiff be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on
2 the damages caused to it by reason of Defendants' infringing activities
3 and other conduct complained of herein;
4 f. That this Court declare this an exceptional case and award Plaintiff its
5 reasonable attorney's fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C.
6 § 285; and
7 g. That Plaintiff be granted such other and further relief as the Court may
8 deem just and proper under the circumstances.

9 **JURY DEMAND**

10 Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal
11 Rules of Civil Procedure.

12
13 DATED: September 30, 2014.

/s/
H.H. (Shashi) Kewalramani (SBN 262290)
Lee Jorgensen Pyle & Kewalramani
440 W. 1st Street, Suite 205
Tustin, CA 92780
Telephone: (714) 252-6611
Facsimile: (714) 602-4690
Email: shashi@lkpklaw.com

Jonathan T. Suder
Brett M. Pinkus
Glenn S. Orman
FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE
Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1
604 East 4th Street, Suite 200
Fort Worth, Texas 76102
Telephone: (817) 334-0400
Facsimile: (817) 334-0401
Email: jts@fsclaw.com
Email: pinkus@fsclaw.com
Email: orman@fsclaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
BACK BAY MEDICAL INC.